SCHOOL BUILDING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES



Project:Tri-County Regional Vocational Technical High SchoolProjSubject:School Building Committee Meeting No. 31MeetingLocation:ZoomTimDistribution:Attendees, Project FilePreg

Project No:MP20-28Meeting Date:10/26/2023Time:4:00 PMPrepared By:E. Grijalva

Present

Brian Mushnick*	SBC Chair
Karen Maguire*	Superintendent
Dan Haynes*	Business Admin.
Ionathon Dowse*	SBC Member
Brendan Bowen Harry Takesian*	SBC Member Facilities
Jane Hardin*	SBC Member
Bob Foley*	Adult Ed. Dir
Dana Walsh	TCRVTHS Principal
Tracey Steward	SC Member
Trip Elmore	DWMP- Project Director
Christina Del Angelo	DWMP – Project Manager
Mike Cox	DWMP – Project Manager
Elias Grijalva	DWMP- Assistant PM
Rachel Rincon	DWMP- Assistant PM
Vladimir Lyubetsky	DRA- Project Manager
Steve Johnson	Consigli- Sr. Project Manager
Mary Jo. Yasutovich	Resident
Marie DelZappo	Resident

***SBC Voting Member**

No.		Descrip	otion		Actio
31.1	Call to order: 4:01PM_meeting was called to order by SBC Chair B. Mushnick, 8 of 11 voting members in attendance.			Recor	
31.2	Previous Topics & Approval of September 21, 2023, Meeting Minutes: A motion to approve the <u>September 21, 2023</u> , previous meeting minutes as submitted was made by J. Dowse and seconded by H. Takesian.		Recor		
	Foley (Y), B. Bowen (Y	oll Call Vote: J. Dowse), K. Maguire (Y), J. Hard asses, to approve Septe	in (Y), D. Haynes (Y); Ab	stentions: None	
31.3	Invoices and Commi	tments for approval:			Record
	DRA September Profe	essional Service Invoice,	in the amount of \$1,5 4	<u>40.00</u>	
	A motion was made by H. Takesian and seconded by J. Dowse for the approval of the DRA September Professional invoice.				
	Foley (Y), B. Bowen (Y	oll Call Vote: J. Dows), K. Maguire (Y), J. Hard rove DRA September Pl	in (Y), D. Haynes (Y); Ab	stentions: None.	
				1 5	
31.4	Regional Vote Updat	te			Record
31.4	T. Elmore shares the communities across to County Regional Voc	results from October 2 hree counties approve ational Technical High	4, 2023, Regional Vote. of the funding constru	The voters from 11 uction of a new Tri-	Record
31.4	T. Elmore shares the communities across t	results from October 2 hree counties approve ational Technical High	4, 2023, Regional Vote. of the funding constru	The voters from 11 uction of a new Tri-	Record
31.4	T. Elmore shares the communities across to County Regional Voo Module 6: Detailed Do Town Franklin Medfield	results from October 24 chree counties approve cational Technical High esign (DD) phase. Yes Vote 1329 188	4, 2023, Regional Vote. of the funding constru School. The projec No Vote 316 29	The voters from 11 uction of a new Tri- t now moves into Total 1645 217	Record
31.4	T. Elmore shares the communities across to County Regional Voo Module 6: Detailed Do Town Franklin Medfield Medway	results from October 2 hree counties approve ational Technical High esign (DD) phase. Yes Vote 1329 188 511	4, 2023, Regional Vote. of the funding constru School. The projec No Vote 316 29 66	The voters from 11 uction of a new Tri- t now moves into Total 1645 217 577	Record
31.4	T. Elmore shares the communities across to County Regional Voo Module 6: Detailed Do Town Franklin Medfield Medway Millis	results from October 2 chree counties approve cational Technical High esign (DD) phase. Yes Vote 1329 188 511 351	4, 2023, Regional Vote. of the funding constru- School. The projec No Vote 316 29 66 656	The voters from 11 uction of a new Tri- t now moves into Total 1645 217 577 1007	Record
31.4	T. Elmore shares the communities across to County Regional Voo Module 6: Detailed Do Town Franklin Medfield Medway Millis Norfolk	results from October 2- chree counties approve cational Technical High esign (DD) phase. Yes Vote 1329 188 511 351 540	4, 2023, Regional Vote. of the funding constru- School. The projec No Vote 316 29 66 656 169	The voters from 11 uction of a new Tri- t now moves into Total 1645 217 577 1007 709	Record
31.4	T. Elmore shares the communities across to County Regional Voo Module 6: Detailed Do Town Franklin Medfield Medway Millis Norfolk N. Attleboro	results from October 2 chree counties approve cational Technical High esign (DD) phase. Yes Vote 1329 188 511 351 540 528	4, 2023, Regional Vote. of the funding constru- school. The projec No Vote 316 29 66 656 169 368	The voters from 11 uction of a new Tri- t now moves into Total 1645 217 577 1007 709 896	Record
31.4	T. Elmore shares the communities across to County Regional Voo Module 6: Detailed Do Town Franklin Medfield Medway Millis Norfolk N. Attleboro Plainville	results from October 24 chree counties approve cational Technical High esign (DD) phase. Yes Vote 1329 188 511 351 540 528 317	4, 2023, Regional Vote. of the funding constru- school. The projec No Vote 316 29 66 656 169 368 239	The voters from 11 uction of a new Tri- t now moves into Total 1645 217 577 1007 709 896 556	Record
31.4	T. Elmore shares the communities across to County Regional Voo Module 6: Detailed Do Town Franklin Medfield Medway Millis Norfolk N. Attleboro Plainville Seekonk	results from October 2- chree counties approve cational Technical High esign (DD) phase. Yes Vote 1329 188 511 351 540 528 317 274	4, 2023, Regional Vote. of the funding constru- school. The projec No Vote 316 29 66 656 169 368 239 351	The voters from 11 uction of a new Tri- t now moves into Total 1645 217 577 1007 709 896 556 625	Record
31.4	T. Elmore shares the communities across to County Regional Voo Module 6: Detailed Do Town Franklin Medfield Medway Millis Norfolk N. Attleboro Plainville Seekonk Sherborn	results from October 2 chree counties approve cational Technical High esign (DD) phase. Yes Vote 1329 188 511 351 540 528 317 274 206	4, 2023, Regional Vote. of the funding constru- school. The projec No Vote 316 29 66 656 169 368 239 351 27	The voters from 11 uction of a new Tri- t now moves into Total 1645 217 577 1007 709 896 556 625 233	Record
31.4	T. Elmore shares the communities across to County Regional Voo Module 6: Detailed Do Town Franklin Medfield Medway Millis Norfolk N. Attleboro Plainville Seekonk	results from October 2- chree counties approve cational Technical High esign (DD) phase. Yes Vote 1329 188 511 351 540 528 317 274	4, 2023, Regional Vote. of the funding constru- school. The projec No Vote 316 29 66 656 169 368 239 351	The voters from 11 uction of a new Tri- t now moves into Total 1645 217 577 1007 709 896 556 625	Record

	Discussion: None	
.5	MSBA Board of Directors vote to increase the funding cap limits on reimbursement cost	Record
	 T. Elmore explains the new MSBA funding cap limits on reimbursement costs. MSBA Board of Directors voted to increase the eligible construction cost per Square Foot Grant Funding Previous Cap of \$393/SF on Construction and \$39/SF for site work, local share forecasted to be approximately \$200 Million +\- New Cap of \$516/SF on Construction and \$52/SF for site work, local share forecasted to be approximately \$181 Million +\- Reduce local share costs of the Tri-County Project by approximately \$19 Million. Discussion: J. Hardin asks if this information is public yet. T. Elmore replies with it will be once this presentation is posted to the website. 	
	 T. Steward state she has posted the information on the municipal ballot website. B. Bowen asks if the change on the MSBA side is indicative of anything larger? T. Elmore explains with there was an agreement in June, the legislature increased costs that could be expended by the MSBA. K. Maguire comments that there was \$100 Million that was added into the budget to address some of the schools that were already in the pipeline that had their scope and budget approved around the beginning of the pandemic. So, in our situation, we were not approved. We didn't have the approval until the end of August. The MSBA made a correction to their reimbursement rate and then decided whether it's appropriate. They were also looking to do a correction for schools that would be entering into the pipeline with an approved scope and budget from October. There were nine of us that were sort of in this middle piece where we didn't qualify for the \$100 million because we had been accepted after it was allocated, and we wouldn't qualify for anything from October on because we were approved in August. The middle that the middle that the pipeline with an approved scope and budget and were in that sort of in the middle that the pipeline were approved in August. The staff at the MSBA had recommended that the nine schools that were finded were of the middle that the pipeline were approved in August. 	
	that they take some of the money that was freed up and allocated among these schools to bring us more in line with the schools that are going forward in October.	Pacara
6	Abutter & Neighbors comments/discussion M. Delzappo introduces herself and states she's one of the trustees of the Franklin Crossing Condominium Community and people that reside in our community have some questions and concerns. Would it be possible to have another community outreach for our community?	Record
		1

- Level of disruption Blasting
- Location of School building closer to the community How will that affect us?

B. Mushnick explains as a public school, we are offered tremendous leeway in what we're allowed to do. We do not know at this time if there will be blasting because we've only done limited geological surveys of the property. There will be a couple of years of equipment and people working from seven to three, on Monday. through Friday while there's an active school.

M. Delzappo states we are just trying to open the lines of communication so we can pass the information to our community.

B. Mushnick explains that this is our first meeting after the vote, and we will be starting to work on timelines and getting more involved with planning.

T. Elmore comments that any information is going to be available on the project website. As we get into design and working with Consigli, I envision that the website will have regular construction updates.

M. Yasutovich introduces herself and states she is a resident that lives on the corner of Macarthur Road and Mucciarone Road. She shares her concern regarding the proposed project plan, which shows the multipurpose field lighting is within a reasonable distance from my home. Can the field stay where it currently is?

B. Mushnick explains that we did some research on how many days a year we run actual events on that field on, and it's no more than 60 days a year that that field is used for activities. At this point in time, lighting is not on the docket, and we don't have the money to put it in unless somebody is making us a very large donation.

K. Maguire comments the lights were removed during one of the value engineering meetings.

M. Yasutovich asks is there a reason the field can't stay where it is.

B. Mushnick explains the field needs to be closer to the building to have access to the bathrooms by law.

M. Yasutovich states we weren't notified that this project was going to take place?

B. Mushnick comments that we aren't obligated to notify you on a public-school project, and we have been in touch with the towns and the towns are well aware of this project.

Discussion: None

31.7	 Next Steps T. Elmore briefly explains the next steps that will be covered on the next SBC meeting. 		Record
	1.	Contract Extension - DMWP. DRA. Preconstruction Agreement for Consigli	
	2.	Funding Process and Actions - TCRVTHS Bond Council	
	3.	Designers workplan review - DRA	
	4.	PV Removal relocation plan and agreement - TCRVTHS	

	 Franklin ISD meeting and Con Com Meetings- Project Team Interior Finishes working group, color, and material recommendation- SBC/ TCRVTHS 	
	Discussion: None	
31.8	Other Topics not Reasonably anticipated 48 hours prior to the Meeting. Discussion: None	Record
31.9	Public Comment: Discussion: None	Record
31.8	 Next Meeting: Building Committee Meeting Building Committee Remote Meeting – November 02,2023 @ 4PM. Discussion: None 	Record
31.10	 Adjourn: 4:57PM motion was made by K. Maguire and seconded J. Dowse to adjourn the meeting. Discussion: None; Roll Call Vote: J. Dowse (Y), B. Mushnick (Y), H. Takesian (Y), B. Foley (Y), B. Bowen (Y), K. Maguire (Y), J. Hardin (Y), D. Haynes (Y); Abstentions: None. All in favor, meeting is adjourned. 	Record

Sincerely,

DORE + WHITTIER

Elias Grijalva Assistant Project Manager

Cc: Attendees, File

The above is my summation of our meeting. If you have any additions and/or corrections, please contact me for incorporation into these minutes.